

United States Patent and Trademark Office

ENITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gev

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/065,144	09/20/2002	Frank F. Chang	56.0630	2763	
27452 7	27452 7590 - 06/17/2004			EXAMINER	
SCHLUMBERGER TECHNOLOGY CORPORATION			TÜCKER, PHILIP C		
IP DEPT., WELL STIMULATION 110 SCHLUMBERGER DRIVE, MD1		1	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SUGAR LANI	D. TX 77478		1712	***	

DATE MAILED: 06/17/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

÷ -			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/065,144	CHANG ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Philip C Tucker	1712 ·	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence addres	ss
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RITHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory provided in the second period for reply will, by some same provided by the Office later than three months after the rearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DN. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a r. n. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirt eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON statute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this commus ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _			
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allegation closed in accordance with the practice under the condition of the	•	·	erits is
Disposition of Claims		•	
4) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the applica	ition.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with			
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>14</u> is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5,12 and 15</u> is/are rejected.			
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>6-13</u> is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	nd/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exar	miner.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐	accepted or b) objected to □	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeyan	ice. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	rrection is required if the drawing	(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1	.121(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. Note the attached	I Office Action or form PTO-1	152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	eign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority docun	nents have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority docun	nents have been received in A	pplication No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the	priority documents have been	received in this National Sta	ge
application from the International Bu	ıreau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies not	received.	
Attachment(c)			
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Intention 9	Summary (PTO-413)	
2) 🔲 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948	Paper No(s	s)/Mail Date	
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	5) Notice of Ir 6) Other:	nformal Patent Application (PTO-152	2)
raper Nu(s)/Naii Date		 '	

Application/Control Number: 10/065,144

Art Unit: 1712

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

1. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Chang (US 6667280)

The applied reference has a common inventor and Asignee with the instant application. Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) might be overcome either by a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not the invention "by another," or by an appropriate showing under 37 CFR 1.131.

Chang teaches a well fluid which comprises an acid and erucylamidopropyl betaine within the scope of the present invention (see compound BET-E and claims 1, 7 and 25). Applicants use of "essentially consisting of" does not distinguish, since the fluid of Chang is still useful as a subterranean treating fluid.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/065,144 Page 3

Art Unit: 1712

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 1, 4, 5 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dahayanake (6258859).

Dahayanake teaches a composition for treating subterranean formations which comprises a surfactant such as an alkylamidopropyl betaine and an acid (see abstract), wherein the surfactant is included in similar amounts (column 6, lines 22-30).

Dahayanake teaches that the alkyl group may be erucyl (column 4, lines 1-6). Isopropyl alcohol may be included in the fluid (column 8, lines 8-12). Dahayanake differs from the present invention in that a specific example of the use of erucylamidopropyl betaine is not disclosed. It would however be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to utilize erucylamidopropyl betaine in the invention of Dahayanake, given the teaching of Dahayanake that that alkylamidopropyl betaines, including the erucyl type, are useful in forming a composition for treating subterranean formations.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/065,144 Page 4

Art Unit: 1712

There is no antecedent basis for "the formation" in claim 15. Also "treating a subterranean hydrocarbons" lacks clarity.

Claim Objections

- 6. Claims 1 and 4 are objected to because of the following informalities: It is not clear if the parts encompassed by parentheses are part of the claimed invention. Such should be removed. Appropriate correction is required.
- 7. Claims 6-11 and 13 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 8. Claims 14 is allowable over the art of record.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Philip C Tucker whose telephone number is 571-272-1095. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, Flexible schedule.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vasu Jagannathan can be reached on 571-272-1119. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/065,144 Page 5

Art Unit: 1712

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Philip C Tucker Primary Examiner Art Unit 1712

PCT-3039